### **AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS**

The following is a complete, marked up listing of revised claims with a status identifier in parentheses, underlined text indicating insertions, and strikethrough and/or double-bracketed text indicating deletions.

#### LISTING OF THE CLAIMS

- 1. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) A sample preparation—method for <u>detecting</u> contaminants in a medium suspected of containing <u>such</u> contaminants, the method comprising <u>the consecutive steps of:</u>
- a) passing a known volume of said medium through a filter from an influent side to an effluent side in a filter device thereby concentrating the contaminants on the influent side of the filter in the filter device;
- b) contacting the influent side of the filter in the filter device with a liquid vehicle containing at least one substrate, wherein said at least one substrate being one which through interaction with an enzyme characteristic of the contaminants produces a detectable moiety.
- c) and-allowing the substrate to interact with the contaminants on the influent side of the filter in the filter device for a period of time, which is sufficient to allow the detectable moiety to be detected in the liquid vehicle;
- d) evacuating the liquid vehicle from the influent side of the filter by forcing the liquid vehicle through to the effluent side of the filter; and
- e) performing a quantitative or qualitative detection of the detectable moiety in the liquid vehicle evacuated in step d and correlating the detection of the moiety to the amount or presence of contaminants in the sample. wherein the at least one substrate produces the detectable moiety by

U.S. Application No. 10/591,321 Attv. Dkt. No. 36731-000093/US

Page 4 of 21

being cleaved by an enzyme that is characteristic for the contaminants, and

wherein the detectable moiety is detectable in an amount of at the most 100

picomoles.

2. (ORIGINAL) The method according to claim 1, wherein, prior to step a,

the medium is passed through a prefilter that does not retain the

contaminants, but retains larger particles.

3. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The method according to claim 1, wherein the

contaminants are selected from the group consisting of bacteria; fungi, such

as filamentous fungi and yeast; algae; protozoans; spores from bacteria;

fungal spores; and pollen, and fragments thereof.

4. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 1, wherein the

medium is a liquid medium.

5. (ORIGINAL) The method according to claim 4, wherein the liquid medium

is selected from the group consisting of environmental water, drinking water,

hot water, industrial water, process water, cleaning in place water, a liquid

extract of a solid material, a suspended or solubilised surface sample, and

liquid industrial products such as cosmetics, pharmaceuticals, and

foodstuffs.

6. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 4, wherein the

viscosity of the liquid medium is reduced prior to step a.

U.S. Application No. 10/591,321 Atty. Dkt. No. 36731-000093/US Page 5 of 21

- 7. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The method according to claim 6, wherein the viscosity is reduced by means of dilution or by means of treatment with a chemical agent—such as a solubility enhancing agent or a detergent.
- 8. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 1, wherein the medium is a gaseous medium.
- 9. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The method according to claim 8, wherein the gaseous medium is air, such as air from a sterile facility, a laminar air flow device or environmental air.
- 10. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 1, wherein the filter has a pore size small enough so as to retain substantially all contaminants in the medium.
- 11. (ORIGINAL) The method according to claim 10, wherein the filter has a pore size large enough to let the detectable moiety pass through the filter.
- 12. (ORIGINAL) The method according to claim 11, wherein the pore size is at most 20 µm.
- 13. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 11, wherein the pore size is at least 0.1 µm.

- 14. (CANCELLED)
- 15. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The method according to claim [[14]]57, wherein the enzyme is selected from the group consisting of carbohydrases, proteases, lipases, esterases, amidases, sulfatases, nucleases and phosphatases.
- 16. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The method according to claim [[14]]57, wherein the enzyme is expressed constitutively by microorganisms.
- 17. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The method according to claim [[14]]57, wherein the at least one substrate is a fluorogenic or chromogenic substrate producing blue, green and red products as the detectable moiety.
- 18. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The method according to claim [[14]]57, wherein the at least one substrate is selected from the group consisting of 5-bromo-4chloro-3-indolyl phosphate disodium salt; 9h-(1,3-dichloro-9,9dimethylacridine-2-one-7-yl) phosphate ammonium salt; fluorescein diphosphate tetraamonium salt; a methylumbelliferyl methyl umbel I iferyl derivative such as 6,8-difluoro-4-methylumbelliferyl phosphate, 4methylumbelliferyl phosphate dicyclohexylammonium salt trihydrate, 4methylumbelliferyl phosphate free acid; 4-methylumbelliferyl phosphate dilithium salt, 4-methylumbelliferyl-ß-N-acetylglucosaminide, and trifluoromethlumbelliferyl phosphate; salts of 4-nitrophenyl phosphate; and resorufin phosphate.

U.S. Application No. 10/591,321 Atty. Dkt. No. 36731-000093/US Page 7 of 21

#### 19. (CANCELLED)

- 20. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 1, wherein the at least one substrate includes at least two substrates that produce detectable moieties providing signals that can be combined into one single measured signal value.
- 21. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 1, wherein the at least one substrate includes at least two substrates that produce detectable moieties providing distinguishable signals.
- 22. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 1, wherein the contaminants are viable microorganisms.
- 23. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 1, wherein the amount of the at least one substrate in the liquid vehicle does not limit the rate of production of the detectable moiety.
- 24. (ORIGINAL) The method according to claim 23, wherein the rate of production of the detectable moiety is a function of the quantity of contaminants in the known volume of the medium.
- 25. (ORIGINAL) The method according to claim 24, wherein the function is linear.

- 26. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 1, wherein several different known volumes of the medium are each passed through a filter in step a, so as to ensure that at least one of the volumes contains a suitable number of the contaminants.
- 27. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 1, wherein the filter is part of a closed, sterile filter device.
- 28. (ORIGINAL) The method according to claim 27, wherein the closed, sterile filter device is disposable.
- 29. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 27, wherein the closed, sterile filter device integrates the filter and a filter housing into one irreversibly closed structural unit.
- 30. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 27, wherein the longest cross-sectional axis of the closed, sterile filter device does not exceed a length of 10 cm.

# 31.-33. (CANCELLED)

34. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 331, wherein evacuation is obtained by applying an elevated pressure on the influent side of the filter or by applying a lowered pressure on the effluent side of the filter.

- 35.-36. (CANCELLED)
- 37. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The method according to claim [[36]]1, wherein detection in step d is performed by measuring fluorescence characteristic of the detectable moiety.
- 38. (ORIGINAL) The method according to claim 37, wherein the fluorescence in step d is measured directly on the liquid vehicle without an interruption of the contact between the liquid vehicle and the contaminants.
- 39. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The method according to claim [[36]]1, wherein the correlation in step d comprises the use of a pre-determined standard curve that expresses the relationship between the amount of contaminants and the amount of the detectable moiety under standard conditions.
- 40. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim [[36]]1, wherein detection is performed in a microtiter system.
- 41. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The method according to claim 1, wherein the contaminants are subjected to a signal-enhancing influence, either prior to step a or in step b, and

the signal-enhancing influence is one selected from the group consisting of an enzyme-enhancing substance, a selective temperature, a

U.S. Application No. 10/591,321 Atty. Dkt. No. 36731-000093/US

Page 10 of 21

temperature range, a selective pH, a selective salt concentration, a non-

selective growth-enhancer and a selective growth-enhancing substance.

42. (ORIGINAL) The method according to claim 41, where the signal-

enhancing influence increases the overall sensitivity in a subsequent

detection or favours subsequent detection of specific types of contaminants,

or reduces detection of specific types of contaminants.

43. (ORIGINAL) The method according to claim 41, wherein the signal-

enhancing influence is selected from an enzyme-enhancing substance, a

selective temperature or temperature range, a selective pH, a selective salt

concentration, a non-selective growth-enhancer, and a selective growth-

enhancing substance.

44. (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) The method according to claim 1, wherein step

a is preceded by an incubation of the medium.

45. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The method according to claim 44, wherein the

incubation entails at least one selected from the group consisting of:

- treatment with an enzyme inducing substance thereby enhancing

the detection of the detectable moiety, and/or

subjecting the medium to a selective substance for yeast, fungi or

bacteria, and/or

- subjecting the medium to a non-selective growth-enhancer for

microorganisms, and/or

- subjecting the medium to a substance capable of extracting cellular enzymes.

## 46.-47. (CANCELLED)

- 48. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The method according to claim 457, wherein the detectable moiety is detectable in an amount of at the most 50 picomoles.
- 49. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim  $\pm 57$ , wherein the detectable moiety is detectable in an amount of at the most 20 picomoles.
- 50. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The method according to claim <u>457</u>, wherein the detectable moiety is detectable in an amount of at the most 10 picomoles.
- 51. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The method according to claim <u>457</u>, wherein the detectable moiety is detectable in an amount of at the most 1 picomoles.
- 52. (New) The method according to claim 3, wherein the fungi is one selected from the group consisting of filamentous fungi and yeast.
- 53. (New) The method according to claim 7, wherein the chemical agent is one selected from the group consisting of a solubility enhancing agent and a detergent.

U.S. Application No. 10/591,321 Atty. Dkt. No. 36731-000093/US

Page 12 of 21

54. (NEW) The method according to claim 9, where the air is one selected

from the group consisting of air from sterile facility, air from a laminar air-

flow device and environmental air.

55. (New) The method according to claim 15, wherein the enzyme is a

phosphatase, and the phosphatase is alkaline phosphatase.

56. (NEW) The method according to claim 18, wherein the

methylumbelliferyl derivative is one selected from the group consisting of 6,8-

difluoro-4-methylumbelliferyl phosphate, 4-methylumbelliferyl phosphate

dicyclohexylammonium salt trihydrate, 4-methylumbelliferyl phosphate free

acid; 4-methylumbelliferyl phosphate dilithium salt, 4-methylumbelliferyl-ß-

N-acetylglucosaminide and trifluoromethlumbelliferyl phosphate.

57. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the at least one substrate

produces the detectable moiety by being cleaved by an enzyme that is

characteristic for the contaminants.

58. (NEW) The method of claim 57, wherein the detectable moiety is

detectable in an amount of at the most 100 picomoles.

\* \* \* \* \*

END OF CLAIM LISTING